

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/954,846	•	09/17/2001	Y. Tom Tang	PF-0556-1 DIV 9384		
27904	7590	04/08/2004		EXAMINER		
INCYTE (CORPOR	ATION	LI, RUIXIANG			
3160 PORT PALO ALT		_		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TALOALI	O, CA	74304		1646		
				DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/954,846	TANG ET AL.	
Authory Nedell	Examiner	Art Unit	
ļ	Ruixiang Li	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED on 3/22/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 4,5 and 46.			
Claim(s) rejected: 3,6,7,9,11 and 12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8,13-17,19,	20 and 24-28.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by tl	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 11 recites "and which encodes a polypeptide having thioredoxin activity". It is unclear which nucleotide sequence is being modified by this limitation, rendering the claim indefinite. Similarly, claim 12 is indefinite because it recites "and which may be used to detect the complete complement of a polynucleotide of claim 11".

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph for enablement and written description remains. It is noted that claims 11 and 12 have been amended by adding a functional limitation. However, such an amendement causes the claims to be indefinite as noted above. If the functional limitation were properly added to the claims, the rejection of claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph would have been overcome.

If the amendment were entered, the rejection of claims 3, 6, 7, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph for scope of enablment and written description would have been overcome.

An improper use of an article "a" is noted in the newly added functional limitation to claim 3 (line 11); "a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2" should be "the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2". Similarly, "a" should also be replaced by "the" in claim 11 (line 3, "...comprising a polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4; line 5, "...identical to a polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4").

GARY KUNZ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600